

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/762,471	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> AKIBA K. ROBINSON BOYCE	<b>Art Unit</b> 3628	

**All Participants:**

(1) AKIBA K. ROBINSON BOYCE.

(2) Paul Tsou.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 January 2008
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
35 USC 103 (a)
**Claims discussed:**
1, 6, 11
**Prior art documents discussed:**
Johnson et al (US 5,349,662)
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
See Continuation Sheet
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative argued that in prior art the inquiries/queries are directed to a database, as opposed to the groups. This appears to overcome prior art of record, and if necessary, a new search will be performed upon receipt of supplemental amendment..